# **Forensic Geology**

Review: 2007 to 2009

Ritsuko SUGITA, PhD  $^{1)\,2)}$ , Hiroaki YOSHIDA, PhD  $^{1)}$ , and Hirofumi FUKUSHIMA, PhD  $^{3)}$ 

- 1) Trace Evidence Section, National Research Institute of Police Science,
  - 2) Identification Division, National Police Agency,
  - 3) National Research Institute of Police Science

Corresponding author: Ritsuko Sugita
National Research Institute of Police Science
6-3-1 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa-shi
Chiba 277-0882, Japan

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	INTRODUCTION	203
2.	NETWORKING AND MEETINGS	203
3.	BOOKS	204
4.	ANALYSIS OF SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND ROCK EVIDENCE	204
5.	BIOLOGICAL MATERIALS	207
6.	TAPHONOMY AND SOIL	207
7.	SEARCH OF CLANDESTINE GRAVES AND MISSING PERSON	208
8.	EDUCATION	209
9.	DATABASE AND EVALUATION	209
10.	CASE REPORT	209
11.	MISCELLANEOUS	210
12.	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	210
13.	REFERENCES	211

#### 1. Introduction

This review article covers developments and topics in the field of forensic geology during the period from 2007 to 2009. The title of this review is "Forensic Geology," but the review is not restricted in narrow definition of "geology"; it includes a wider meaning (1). Some practitioners have preferred to use the term "forensic geosciences" in recent years instead of "forensic geology." This change in designation may indicate that more people of the field of geology and its related sciences are being noticed and getting involved in the science for criminal investigations. In this review, papers on environmental forensics have not been included.

# 2. Networking and Meetings

Networking was accelerated rapidly in the period between 2007 and 2009. The most significant movement was the establishment of GIN (Geoforensic International Network), which is a worldwide network of forensic geoscientists. Further, the international working group of forensic geology was agreed upon by IUGS-GEM (International Union of Geological Sciences – Geosciences for Environmental Management) in 2009 (2, 3). Dr. Donnelly had enthusiastically led forensic geoscientists around the world to build a network. Before the establishment of an international group, a key network of geoforensics was begun in the United Kingdom (GIMI: Geoforensics and Information Management for crime Investigation). There are also two ongoing projects in the UK. One is the SoilFit (Integration of Soil Fingerprinting Techniques for Forensic Applications) project (4), which is being carried out to investigate the potential of advanced analytical methods in providing soil forensic intelligence to police investigations. It is being carried out by a multi-disciplinary group of experts of the UK academic and law enforcement organizations. As part of this project, the group has examined various problems related to soil as both intelligence and evidence in a forensic context, such as establishment of a statistical framework, assessment of the variability of soil, and development of a geographic search tool. The other ongoing project is the SoilFUN (5) (Soil Forensic University Network), which was begun as a daughter project of the SoilFit project, based on the collaboration of universities and institutes in the UK. Morrison et al. (6) have reported the preliminary results of this project. A large number of undergraduate

students enrolled in forensic science courses are learning how to apply their skills in a forensic context.

The Second International Conference on Environmental and Criminal Forensics was held in November 2007 at Edinburgh (7). The conference included seven sessions, namely "environmental soil forensics," "criminal soil forensics," "geoforensics," "geostatics, databases, and geographical information systems," "biological and chemical analytical diagnostics," "forensic taphonomy," and "communications and advocacy" with a public lecture "the global way forward" by Dr. Robertson, and 31 presentations from the conference were published as a book titled "Criminal and Environmental Forensics" in 2009 (8). The third conference is planned in November 2010 in California, USA. FGG, the Forensic Geoscience Group of the Geological Society, held a meeting "Geoscientific Equipment and Techniques at Crime Scenes" in 2008 (9). In the annual meetings of the Geological Society of America (GSA), presentations on forensic geology were included every year (10). The topics cover a broad range of topics related to forensic geology, including education, analytical methods, and case reports.

#### 3. Books

In addition to the book of Ritz *et al.* (8) introduced in the former section, at least three titles were published in the review period. Pye (11) published a book on this issue titled "Geological and Soil Evidence: Forensic Applications" in 2007. It describes the types of geological evidence, the techniques applied, and the evaluation of the obtained results for people not familiar to geology and for geologists who have little experience of forensic application. Ruffell and McKinley (12) released a book titled "Geoforensics" aiming "to show how various geoscience techniques are used in forensic investigations." Tibbett and Carter (13) edited "Soil Analysis in Forensic Taphonomy: Chemical and Biological Effects of Buried Human Remains," which is the first book on the chemistry and biology of soil related to the decomposition of human remains.

#### 4. Analysis of soil, sediment, and rock evidence

Color examination is one of the fundamental methods of forensic soil examination. Sand and dune sediments from the beaches of Portugal were

analyzed using spectrophotometer, and the results were compared (14, 15, 16). A unique attempt of color analysis was reported by Marumo (17) using computerized image analysis software.

Morphological features of grains using an optical microscopes and/or a scanning electron microscope (SEM) were discussed by several researchers. The quartz grain surface was observed by SEM and examined to determine whether the surface texture changes at a car fire temperature by Morgan et al. (18). Millette et al. (19) examined coke and coal particles by observing them under both an optical microscope and an SEM in combination with an energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analyzer. The analysis of the chemistry of light minerals in soil by an SEM-EDX system was reported by Aoki and Oikawa (20) to discriminate soil and an automated SEM-EDX to analyze grain chemistry; the shapes were described by Pirrie et al. (21, 22). Schwandt (23) presented a soil grain analysis using optical microscopes and digital x-ray maps, which could also provide information about the bulk chemistry of the sample by manipulation. The elemental data of feldspars sampled at the beaches of a prefecture obtained by an X-ray analyzer attached to an SEM was plotted on a mineralogical triangle diagram; the samples were successfully discriminated by the geological backland (24). The trace amount of soil and the smear of soil on cloth were examined by a variable-pressure SEM-EDX; and was considered useful for the screening of a forensic soil examination (25).

Isphording(26) stressed the importance of heavy minerals even if the amount of these minerals in the soil was small because the types and their chemistry reflected their crystallizing conditions. Biotite and its weathered material are very common in immature surface soil in granitic rock regions. Their chemical composition reflected the composition of other heavy minerals stated by Isphording (26); this finding was considered to be useful information for forensic soil investigation (27, 28). Bowen (29) stated some principles of forensic soil comparisons and described the use of additional information such as morphology, chemistry, and isotopes of mineral grains to individualize soil samples.

The use of cathodoluminescence was described to screen and discriminate mineral grains (30). Infrared (IR) spectrometry has long been used for providing

the compositional and structural information of various types of substance, including minerals before the wide usage of X-ray diffractometry (XRD). It has been revisited and examined as a rapid identification method for mineral species in forensic evidence by Weiger *et al.* (31). Newly developed analytical techniques such as confocal Raman, laser induced breakdown spectrometry, and laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometry were introduced and compared to analytical methods currently applied to forensic geology by Walker (32).

Pye and his co-researchers continuously improved an analytical procedure by inductively coupled plasma (ICP)—atomic emission spectrometry (AES) and mass spectrometry (MS) as already mentioned in the last review (1). Pye *et al.* (33) assessed compositional differences between different size fractions and different samples. The method was also applied to develop a searchable database by Pye and Blott (34).

Isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS) is a significantly developing field of forensic chemical analysis, and a network was recently established in the forensic community (35, 36). The application of IRMS analysis to determine the origin of carbonate rocks related to a crime was reported by Roelofse and Horstmann (37).

The magnetic susceptibility of beach and dune sediments was measured for forensic application (15, 16). A unique application of magnetics used in the case of a car accident related to soil was reported (38) in which analytical results were obtained from the evidence and samples of two suspected origins, where the pedological features were very similar and were compared for discrimination. The work flow proposed by Graves (39) in 1979 was modified as a simple and easy-to-learn method (40). Iconology was introduced in addition to mineralogical, micropalaeontological, and petrographical studies to link a suspect to the crime in a simulation case work (41). A study utilizing X-ray fluorescence spectrometry

examination using various instrumental analyses such as ICP-AES, XRD, and visible ultraviolet spectrophotometry. Molina et al. (44) and Reyes et al. (45)

(XRF), total organic carbon content, and ion chromatograph were performed to distinguish and estimate a region by Nagahama *et al.* (42) in a volcanic soil area. Melo *et al.* (43) applied a sequential extraction analysis for a forensic soil

examined the application of petrographical, mineralogical, and chemical analyses for characterizing soil for forensic purposes.

The organic components of soil have not been considered to a great extent as compared to the physical and elemental composition of soil. Bommarito *et al.* (46) applied high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and ion chromatography to discriminate soil evidence.

# 5. Biological materials

The importance of palynology was eagerly discussed in many articles during last period of review (1). Wiltshire (47) stated the requirement to a forensic palynologist. Nesterona *et al.* (48) reported cases using pollen in urban surface soil, which often changed by construction, for forensic investigation. The preliminary result of a unique attempt utilizing *testate amoebae* for the discrimination of soil was reported by Swindles and Ruffell (49). *Testate amoebae* was recovered from dried sediments on clothing 10 years after the case. Microfossil has been used frequently for environmental or age estimations in conventional geology. It is applied to discriminate concrete (50) in combination with a mineralogical examination. The plant wax in soil was examined by Mayes *et al.* (51) for the forensic discrimination using GCMS.

Sensabaugh (52) overviewed the present state of microbial community profiling utilizing a DNA analysis in soil and discussed the challenges to overcome for forensic application. The spatial variation of bacterial DNA profiles was examined by Heath and Saunders (53) for a forensic soil comparison on soil samples obtained from three different ecosystems. As a result, small-scale variability can be a problem, but the precise location can be identified. Meyers and Foran (54) reported that bacterial DNA profiling may be useful although variables, especially the time difference, should be considered on the basis of the study on bacterial DNA in soil over a one-year period. Mcdonald *et al.* (55) examined the bacterial, archaeal, and fungal DNA in air-dried soil and found that fungal DNA was less altered than bacterial and archaeal DNA.

#### 6. Taphonomy and soil

The number of papers on taphonomy with respect to soil environment has

increased during this review period and includes the book edited by Tibbett and Carter (13). Carter *et al.* (56) reviewed the formation and ecosystem of gravesoil. Microbial activities and the chemistry of soil around a buried cadaver were studied (57, 58). Prangnell and McGowan (59) applied a soil temperature calculation method used in civil engineering to estimate the temperature of the burial site. The effects of the state of the buried tissue were studied. Stokes *et al.* (60), including changes in the chemistry and microbial activities in soil.

# 7. Search of clandestine graves and missing person

Searching clandestine graves by geophysical, geographical, and geochemical methods are now discussed eagerly. Ruffell and McKinley (61) spared a large volume to these issues in their book.

Jervis *et al.* (62, 63) presented the result of an experimental survey on simulated clandestine graves considering ground water and soil data obtained by the electrical resistivity survey method. The result of the comparison of GPR and electron resistivity tomography (ERT) for the forensic search of clandestine graves was reported by Pringle *et al.* (64, 65).

The effectiveness of the combination of geophysical and geochemical (including hydrochemistry) as well as the role of geoscientists in the search was described (66–69). Harrison and Donnelly (69) described the effectiveness, limitation, and devices of a geophysical survey, and the usefulness of hydrochemical and geochemical examinations. McKunley *et al.* (68) recommended the application of spatial methodology, including geophysical information system (GIS), GPR, hydrochemistry, and cadaver dogs to a forensic search. Parker *et al.* (70) reviewed the search of freshwater bodies using seismic waves, compressed high intensity radar (CHIRPS), side scan sonar, GPR, magnetometers, and other techniques for forensic purposes. An experiment to detect a clandestine grave using the difference in vegetation was also reported by Watson and Forbes (71). The examination of the use of nynhidrin to detect gravesoil was also presented (72, 73).

The significance of this topic is that there are many case reports on this topic as compared to the other type of issues related to forensic geology. Ruffell *et al.* (74) reported a false-positive case of the application of ground penetrating radar

and victim recover dogs to search for a suspected location as a "grave" of a missing person with a discussion. Ruffell *et al.* (75) described a search for historical mass graves using historical and anecdotal information, aerial photographs, GPR, and metal detectors. Congram (76) reported the prospection and excavation of a clandestine burial in Costa Rica utilizing a conventional search such as the slumping and different plant growth and by improvising a conventional archaeological excavation method. Billinger (77) introduced the case of the utilization of GPR for the location of a potential human burial under concrete.

#### 8. Education

Forensic geology was introduced at different levels of education, including high school (78–81). In Colombia, "Ibero-American course on forensic geology" was conducted to assimilate geological methods for forensic investigations (82, 83).

#### 9. Database and evaluation

Attempts to create a database for forensic purposes were reported. Pye and Blott (84) gathered existing data from their past examinations of elemental analyses in England and Wales to create a searchable database. The SoilFUN (4, 6) aims to produce analytical data on urban soils, which are relatively little known as compared to non-urban soils. Bergslein and Hovey (85) reported a progress on a project to establish a database on the soil in western New York using XRF and XRD. The difficulties and requirements were discussed by Aitken (86) to determine the likelihood ratio of the obtained data sets of the chemical analyses for a forensic soil examination. A geostatistical method was proposed to utilize spatial databases for solving an intelligence problem by Lark and Rawlins (87).

#### 10. Case report

Cases related to geology and crimes are not only geological materials as trace evidence (88), but as objects of mining fraud cases (89). Criminal cases and fraud cases of mines, gems, and art were introduced to determine how geology can help in solving crimes by Murray (90). He also introduced a new and traditional microscopy technique applied to forensic geology. An experiment was

reported to prove a continuous gas leakage from an underground gas pipe by the color of soil derived from the redox state of iron (91). Schneck (92) presented case studies in which a broad range of materials were involved.

#### 11. Miscellaneous

Seismology is one of the very important fields of geosciences, but it is not considerably valued in the field of forensic science. It can provide the time, the scale of explosion, and additional information of an incident by using its network to help forensic investigation (93). Information on the persistence of grains was provided through case works (94) and experiments (95). The advantages and disadvantages of spatial sampling were discussed by McKinley and Ruffell (96).

The trace evidence concentrator system was introduced for the separation and concentration of trace evidence from the soil by Smucker and Siegel (97). The system used a hydropneumatic elutriation method for a rapid examination.

A review on the forensic sediment analysis was written by Morgan and Bull (98). The difficulties of communication are not the problem exclusive to forensic geology but of any occasion when specialists and non-specialists wish to share knowledge. Donnelly (99) reported on this issue with some case work and Rold (100) discussed the difference between geology and forensic geology. The status of forensic geology in Russian Federation was described in two reports (101, 102). Stam and Murray (103) compared the situation of the USA and the UK. Molina (104) reported the history of forensic geology in Colombia.

There were also several papers written about the forensic geoscience for geologists, who were not involved in forensic science (105, 106).

# 12. Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Dawson and Dr. Donnelly for providing us with information about the networking. The members of GIN also offered us a considerable amount of useful information. Dr Suzuki assisted us throughout the reviewing process.

### 13. References

- Sugita R, Suzuki S, Katsumata Y. Forensic Geology A Review: 2004 to 2007 -. 15th International Forensic Symposium. Interpol 2007; 79-99. http://www.interpol.int/Public/Forensic/IFSS/meeting15/Papers.pdf.
- The Geological Society of London Forensic Geoscience Group (FGG), The International Union of Geological Sciences (IUGS), Geosciences for Environmental Management (GEM), Geoforensic International Network (GIN)

  http://www.geologo.org.uk/webday/site/GSL/shared/pdfs/specialist%20and
  - http://www.geolsoc.org.uk/webdav/site/GSL/shared/pdfs/specialist%20and %20regional%20groups/Forensic/FGG%20Poster%202010.pdf.
- 3 IUGS-GEM Forensic Geology. <a href="http://forensic.iugs-gem.org/">http://forensic.iugs-gem.org/</a>.
- 4 SoilFit. <a href="http://www.macaulay.ac.uk/soilfit/">http://www.macaulay.ac.uk/soilfit/</a>.
- 5 SoilFUN. <a href="http://www.macaulay.ac.uk/forensics/soilfun/">http://www.macaulay.ac.uk/forensics/soilfun/</a>.
- Morrisson A, McColl S, Dawson LA, Brewer M. Characterisation and Discrimination of Urban Soils: Preliminary Results from The Soil Forensics University Network. In: Ritz K, Dawson L, Miller D (editors) Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer.com. 2009; 75-86.
- 7 Book of Abstracts, the 2nd International Workshop on Criminal and Environmental Forensics 2007 <a href="http://www.soilforensicsinternational.org/book of abstracts.pdf">http://www.soilforensicsinternational.org/book of abstracts.pdf</a>.
- 8 Ritz K, Dawson L, Miller D (editors) Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer.com. 2009.
- 9 Geoscientific Equipment and Techniques at Crime Scenes <a href="http://www.geolsoc.org.uk/gsl/groups/specialist/forensic/page3190">http://www.geolsoc.org.uk/gsl/groups/specialist/forensic/page3190</a>.html.
- 10 Meetings, Geological Society of America. http://www.geosociety.org/meetings/.
- 11 Pye K. Geological and Soil Evidence: Forensic Application. Boca Raton FL, CRC Press. 2007.
- 12 Ruffell A, McKinley J. Geoforensics. Chichester, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 2008.
- 13 Tibbett M, Carter DO (editors) Soil Analysis in Forensic Taphonomy: Chemical and Biological Effects of Buried Human Remains. CRC Press 2008.

- 14 Guedes A, Ribeiro H, Valentim B, Noronha F. Quantitative Colour Analysis of Beach and Dune Sediments for Forensic Applications: A Portuguese Example. Forensic Science International 2009 Sep; 190 (1-3): 42-51.
- 15 Guedes A, Ribeiro H, Sant'ovaia H, Valentim B, Rodrigues A, Dória A, et al. Discrimination of Sediment Samples for Forensic Applications. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 2009 June; 73 (13 Supplement 1): A474.
- 16 Rodrigues A, Guedes A, Sant'ovaia H, Valentim B, Ribeiro H, Noronha F. The Use of Magnetic Susceptibility and Colour on Dune Samples for Forensic Purposes. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 2009 June; 73 (13 Supplement 1): A1110.
- 17 Marumo Y. Forensic Soil Examination Using Image Processing Software (1)
  -Color Determination-. Japanese Journal of Forensic Science and
  Technology 2007 Oct; 12 (Supplement): 90. In Japanese.
- 18 Morgan RM, Little M, Gibson A, Hicks L, Dunkerley S, Bull PA. The Preservation of Quartz Grain Surface Textures Following Vehicle Fire and Their Use in Forensic Enquiry. Science and Justice 2008 Sep; 48 (3): 133-140.
- 19 Millette JR, Brown RS, Kyle JP, Turner W Jr., Hill W, Boltin WR. Distinguishing Coal, Coke and Other Black Particles. The Microscope 2009; 57 (2): 51-57.
- 20 Aoki T, Oikawa H. Analysis of Soil Samples by SEM/EDX. Japanese Journal of Forensic Science and Technology 2007 Oct; 12 (Supplement): 89. In Japanese.
- 21 Hoal KO, Botha PWSK, Forsyth A, Butcher AR. Advanced Mineralogy Research: Mineral Characterization Using QEMSCAN® Techniques. 2007 GSA Annual Meeting http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract 132494.htm.
- 22 Pirrie D, Power MR, Rollinson GK, Wiltshire PEJ, Newberry J, Campbell HE. Automated SEM-EDS (QEMSCAN®) Mineral Analysis in Forensic Soil Investigations: Testing Instrumental Reproducibility. In: Ritz K, Dawson L, Miller D (editors) Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer.com. 2009; 411-430.
- 23 Schwandt CS. Exploring the Use of Crystal-Chemistry Based Manipulations of Digital X-Ray Maps as a Method of Discriminating among Soil Samples. 2008 Joint Annual Meeting GSA, ASA-CSSA-SSSA, GCAGS-SEPM, HGS <a href="http://a-c-s.confex.com/crops/2008am/webprogram/Paper49946.html">http://a-c-s.confex.com/crops/2008am/webprogram/Paper49946.html</a>.

- 24 Matsuyama Y, Goto T, Harama T. Classification of a Seaside Sand in Shizuoka Prefecture by a Chemical Component of Feldspar Group. Japanese Journal of Forensic Science and Technology 2008 Oct; 13 (Supplement): 86. In Japanese.
- 25 Pye K, Croft D. Forensic Analysis of Soil and Sediment Traces by Scanning Electron Microscopy and Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Analysis: An Experimental Investigation. Forensic Science International 2007 Jan; 165 (1): 52-63.
- 26 Isphording WC. Practical Use of Heavy Minerals in Forensic Studies. 2008 Joint Annual Meeting GSA, ASA-CSSA-SSSA, GCAGS-SEPM, HGS http://a-c-s.confex.com/crops/2008am/webprogram/Paper49950.html.
- 27 Naganuma K, Arikawa T, Iwamoto M, Udatsu T, Nagamoto Y. Extraction of Information for Criminal Investigation from Amount of Soil Samples (V) -Usefulness of Biotite Particle to Identify the Surface Layer Soil in Miyazaki Pref.-. Japanese Journal of Forensic Science and Technology 2008 Oct; 13 (Supplement): 84. In Japanese.
- 28 Sugita R, Suzuki S. Discrimination of Granitic Saprolite by Elemental Analysis of Accessory Minerals. Japanese Journal of Forensic Science and Technology 2008 Oct; 13 (Supplement): 85. In Japanese.
- 29 Bowen A. "Individualizing" Minerals: A Proposed Approach for Forensic Soil Comparison. The Microscope 2007; 55 (2): 59-73.
- 30 Peaslee GF, Buscaglia J, Palenik CS. Cathodoluminescence as a Forensic Tool. 2008 Joint Annual Meeting GSA, ASA-CSSA-SSSA, GCAGS-SEPM, HGS http://a-c-s.confex.com/crops/2008am/webprogram/Paper49948.html.
- 31 Weinger BA, Reffner JA, De Forest PR. A Novel Approach to the Examination of Soil Evidence: Mineral Identification Using Infrared Microprobe Analysis. Journal of Forensic Sciences 2009 July; 54 (4): 851-856.
- Walker GS. Analysis of Soils in a Forensic Context: Comparison of Some Current and Future Options. In: Ritz K, Dawson L, Miller D (editors) Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer.com. 2009; 397-409.
- 33 Pye K, Blott SJ, Croft DJ, Witton SJ. Discrimination between Sediment and Soil Samples for Forensic Purposes Using Elemental Data: An Investigation of Particle Size Effects. Forensic Science International 2007 Mar; 167 (1): 30-42.

- 34 Pye K, Blott SJ. Development of a Searchable Major and Trace Element Database for Use in Forensic Soil Comparisons. Science and Justice 2009 Sep; 49 (3): 170-181.
- 35 Muccio Z, Jackson GP. Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry. Analyst 2009 Feb; 134 (2): 213-222.
- 36 Wakelin D, Doyle S, Andrews C, Mountford S, Nic Daeid N. Network Developing Forensic Applications of Stable Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry Conference 2005. Science and Justice 2008 June; 48 (2): 79-90.
- 37 Roelofse F, Horstmann UE. A Case Study on the Application of Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry (IRMS) in Determining the Provenance of a Rock Used in an Alleged Nickel Switching Incident. Forensic Science International 2008 Jan; 174 (1): 63-66.
- 38 Chen M, Yu L, Niu X, Chen B. Application of Environmental Magnetism on Crime Detection in a Highway Traffic Accident from Yangzhou to Guazhou, Jiangsu Province, China. Forensic Science International 2009 May; 187 (1-3): 29-33.
- 39 Graves A Mineralogical Soil Classification Technique for the Forensic Scientist. Journal of the Forensic Sciences 1979; 24: 323-338.
- 40 Petraco N, Kubic TA, Petraco NDK. Case Studies in Forensic Soil Examinations. Forensic Science International 2008 July; 178 (2-3): e23-e27.
- 41 Sacchi E, Falconi S, Nuccetelli L, Di Maggio RM. Soils, Fossils, Tyre Tracks and Footwear Impressions: A Simulated Casework 5th European Academy of Forensic Science Conference 2009.
- 42 Nagahama K, Tomiyasu T. Studies on Chemical Composition of Soils -Discrimination of Soils Comprised of Shirasu (Pyroclastic Flow Deposit) by Chemical Compositions-. Japanese Journal of Forensic Science and Technology 2009; 14 (Supplement): 104. In Japanese.
- 43 Melo VF, Barbar LC, Zamora PGP, Schaefer CE, Cordeiro GA. Chemical, Physical and Mineralogical Characterization of Soils from the Curitiba Metropolitan Region for Forensic Purpose. Forensic Science International 2008 Aug; 179 (2-3): 123-134.
- 44 Mokina CM, Mendoza J, Peña HY, Peña C. Petrographic and Mineralogic Characterization of Soils of an Area from SW de Bolívar City, Bogotá, Applied to Forensic Geology. In Spanish.

- 45 Reyes S, Molina CM, Ballesteros MI. Partial Characterization of Soils with Objective Forensic in an Area from Bolívar City, South-West from Bogotá. Magazine National Institute of Legal Medicine and Forensic Sciences 2008; 20 (2): 35-46. In Spanish.
- 46 Bommarito CR, Sturdevant AB, Szymanski DW. Analysis of Forensic Soil Samples via High-Performance Liquid Chromatography and Ion Chromatography. Journal of Forensic Sciences 2007 Jan; 52 (1): 24-30.
- 47 Wiltshire PEJ. Forensic Ecology, Botany and Palynology: Some Aspects of Their Role in Criminal Investigation. In: Ritz K, Dawson L, Miller D (editors) Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer.com. 2009; 129-149.
- 48 Nesterina E, Gradusova O, Alieva R, Kuropatina N. The Application of Pollen Analysis in Forensic Soil Examination When a Scene of Crime Being the Urbanized Territory. Book of Abstracts, the 4th European Symposium on Aerobiology 2008: 133. <a href="http://www.sci.utu.fi/projects/biologia/aerobiologia/4ESA2008/ESA2008 abstracts">http://www.sci.utu.fi/projects/biologia/aerobiologia/4ESA2008/ESA2008 abstracts</a> 190808.pdf.
- 49 Swindles GT, Ruffell A. A Preliminary Investigation into the Use of Testate Amoebae for the Discrimination of Forensic Soil Samples. Science and Justice 2009 Sep; 49 (3): 182-190.
- Patty TS, Wiss J. The Use of Fossils and Mineral Specificity in Forensic Concrete Petrography. South-Central and North-Central Sections,
   GSA 41st Joint Annual Meeting 2007
   http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007SC/finalprogram/abstract 120042.htm.
- 51 Mayes RW, Macdonald LM, Ross JM, Dawson LA. Discrimination of Domestic Garden Soils Using Plant Wax Compounds as Markers. In: Ritz K, Dawson L, Miller D (editors) Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer.com. 2009; 463-476.
- 52 Sensabaugh GF. Microbial Community Profiling for the Characterisation of Soil Evidences: Forensic Considerations. In: Ritz K, Dawson L, Miller D (editors) Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer.com. 2009; 49-60.
- 53 Heath LE, Saunders VA. Spatial Variation in Bacterial DNA Profiles for Forensic Soil Comparisons. Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal 2008 Mar; 41 (1): 29-37.

- 54 Meyers MS, Foran DR. Spatial and Temporal Influences on Bacterial Profiling of Forensic Soil Samples. Journal of Forensic Sciences 2008 May; 53 (3): 652-660.
- Mcdonald LM, Singh BK, Thomas N, Brewer MJ, Campbell CD, Dawson LA. Microbial DNA Profiling by Multiplex Terminal Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism for Forensic Comparison of Soil and the Influence of Sample Condition Journal of Applied Microbiology 2008; 105: 813-821.
- 56 Carter DO, Yellowlees D, Tibbett M. Cadaver Decomposition in Terrestrial Ecosystem. Naturwissenschaften 2007; 94 (1): 12-24.
- 57 Carter DO, Yellowlees D, Tibbett M. Temperature Affects Microbial Decomposition of Cadavers (*Rattus rattus*) in Contrasting Soils. Applied Soil Ecology 2008; 40 (1): 129-137.
- 58 Carter DO, Tibbett M. Does Repeated Burial of Skeletal Muscle Tissue (*Ovis aries*) in Soil Affect Subsequent Decomposition? Applied Soil Ecology 2008; 40 (3): 529-535.
- 59 Prangnell J, McGowan G. Soil Temperature Calculation for Burial Site Analysis. Forensic Science International 2009 Dec; 193 (1-3): 104-109.
- 60 Stokes KL, Forbes SL, Tibbett M. Freezing Skeletal Muscle Tissue Does Not Affect Its Decomposition in Soil: Evidence from Temporal Changes in Tissue Mass, Microbial Activity and Soil Chemistry based on Excised Samples Forensic Science International 2009; 183 (1-3): 6-13.
- 61 Ruffell A, McKinley J. Geoforensics. Chichester, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 2008.
- 62 Jervis JR, Pringle JK, Cassella JP, Tuckwell G. Using Soil and Groundwater Data to Understand Resistivity Surveys over a Simulated Clandestine Grave. In: Ritz K, Dawson L, Miller D (editors) Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer.com. 2009; 271-284.
- 63 Jervis JR, Pringle JK, Tuckwell GW. Time-Lapse Resistivity Surveys over Simulated Clandestine Graves. Forensic Science International 2009 Nov; 192 (1-3): 7-13.
- 64 Pringle JK, Jervis JR, Cassella JP, Cassidy NJ. Time-Lapse Resistivity Surveys over a Simulated Urban Clandestine Grave. Journal of Forensic Sciences 2008; 53 (6): 1405-1416.

- Pringle JK, Jervis JR, Tuckwell GT. Comparison of Time-Lapse GPR and Resistivity over Simulated Clandestine Graves. Abstracts of Papers, 15th European Meeting of Environmental and Engineering Geophysics 2009 http://www.earthdoc.org/detail.php?pubid=32239.
- 66 Donnelly LJ. The Role of Geoforensics in Policing and Law Enforcement. Police 2009; 19-22.
- 67 Harrison M, Donnelly LJ. Locating Consealed Homicide Victims: Developing the Role of Geoforensics. In: Ritz K, Dawson L, Miller D (editors) Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer.com. 2009; 197-219.
- 68 McKinley J, Ruffell A, Harrison M, Meier-Augenstein W, Kemp H, Graham C, et al. Spatial Thinking in Search Methodology: A Case Study of the "No Body Murder Enquiry," West of Ireland. In: Ritz K, Dawson L, Miller D (editors) Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer.com. 2009; 285-302.
- 69 Harrison M, Donnelly LJ. Buried Homicide Victims: Applied Geoforensics in Search to Locate Strategies. The Journal of Homicide and Major Incident Investigation 2008; 4 (2): 71-86.
- 70 Parker R, Ruffell A, Hughes D, Pringle J. Geophysics and the Search of Freshwater Bodies: A Review. Science and Justice 2009; doi:10.1016/j.scijus.2009.09.001.
- 71 Watson CJ, Forbes SL. An Investigation of the Vegetation Associated with Grave Sites in Southern Ontario. Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal 2008 Dec; 41 (4): 199-207.
- 72 Carter DO, Yellowlees D, Tibbett M. Using Ninhydrin to Detect Gravesoil. Journal of Forensic Sciences 2008 Mar; 53 (2) 397-400.
- 73 Van Belle LE, Carter DO, Forbes SL. Measurement of Ninhydrin Reactive Nitrogen Influx into Gravesoil during Aboveground and Belowground Carcass (*Sus domesticus*) Decomposition. Forensic Science International 2009 Dec; 193 (1-3): 37-41.
- 74 Ruffell A, Donnelly C, Carver N, Murphy E, Murray E, McCambridge J. Suspect Burial Excavation Procedure: A Cautionary Tale. Forensic Science International 2009 Jan; 183 (1-3): e11-e16.
- 75 Ruffell A, McCabe A, Donnelly C, Sloan B. Location and Assessment of an Historic (150–160 Years Old) Mass Grave Using Geographic and Ground Penetrating Radar Investigation, NW Ireland. Journal of Forensic Sciences 2009 Mar; 54 (2): 382-394.

- 76 Congram D. A Clandestine Burial in Costa Rica: Prospection and Excavation Journal of Forensic Sciences 2008 July; 53 (4): 793-796.
- 77 Billinger MS. Utilizing Ground Penetrating Radar for the Location of a Potential Human Burial under Concrete. Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal 2009 Sep; 42 (3): 200-209.
- 78 Nelson EG. Forensic Geology as a Vehicle for Inquiry-Drive Learning: The Case of the Sandy Body. 2007 GSA Annual Meeting <a href="http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract\_124698.htm">http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract\_124698.htm</a>.
- 79 Nehru CE. "Case Studies" in Forensic Classes. 2007 GSA Annual Meeting <a href="http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract\_126468.htm">http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract\_126468.htm</a>.
- Preston L, Smith M, Graham K. Earth Science Inquiry Lessons from Partnerships for Research Opportunities to Benefit Education. Northeastern Section, GSA 42nd Annual Meeting 2007 <a href="http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007NE/finalprogram/abstract\_118492.htm">http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007NE/finalprogram/abstract\_118492.htm</a>.
- 81 Nehru CE. Specialization within Forensic Science the Place of Geology. 2008 Joint Annual Meeting GSA, ASA-CSSA-SSSA, GCAGS-SEPM, HGS <a href="http://a-c-s.confex.com/crops/2008am/webprogram/Paper49947.html">http://a-c-s.confex.com/crops/2008am/webprogram/Paper49947.html</a>.
- 82 deWind A. Operation Colombia. Geoscientist 2009 Sep; 19 (9): 6-7.
- 83 Molina CM. Personal Communication. 2010.
- 84 Pye K, Blott SJ. Development of a Searchable Major and Trace Element Database for Use in Forensic Soil Comparisons. Science and Justice 2009 Sep; 49 (3): 170-181.
- 85 Bergslien E, Hovey A. Differentiating Soils in Western New York Using XRF and XRD to Build a Database. 2007 GSA Annual Meeting <a href="http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract\_131823.htm">http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract\_131823.htm</a>.
- 86 Aitken CGG. Some Thoughts on the Role of Probabilistic Reasoning in the Evaluation of Evidence. In: Ritz K, Dawson L, Miller D (editors) Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer.com. 2009; 33-47.
- 87 Lark RM, Rawlins BG. Can We Predict the Provenance of a Soil Sample for Forensic Purposes by Reference to a Spatial Database? European Journal of Soil Science 2008 Oct; 59 (5): 1000-1006.
- 88 Lombardi G. The Death of Countess Agusta in Portofino (Northern Italy) and the Soil from Two Mismatched Slippers. Journal of Forensic Sciences 2009 Mar; 54 (2): 395-399.
- 89 Abbott DM Jr. My Favorite Frauds. 2007 GSA Annual Meeting <a href="http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract\_127020.htm">http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract\_127020.htm</a>.

- 90 Murray RC. Forensic Geology: Earthly Crimes Solved with the Microscope. The Microscope 2009; 57 (1): 27-33.
- 91 Yoshiyagawa S, Taniguchi M, Hata M, Suzuki K, Uchida R, Yonebayashi F. Pedological Approach to Find Evidence of Continuous Gas Leak from Corrosion Holes of a Buried Gas Pipe. Japanese Journal of Forensic Science and Technology 2007 Oct; 12 (Supplement): 91. In Japanese.
- 92 Schneck W. Forensic Geology Case Studies from the Northwest.
  2007 GSA Annual Meeting
  <a href="http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract\_126111.htm">http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract\_126111.htm</a>.
- 93 Holzer TL. Forensic Seismology. 2007 GSA Annual Meeting <a href="http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract\_124484.htm">http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract\_124484.htm</a>.
- 94 Morgan RM, Bull PA. The Use of Grain Size Distribution Analysis of Sediments and Soils in Forensic Enquiry. Science and Justice 2007 Nov; 47 (3): 125-135.
- 95 Morgan RM, Cohen J, McGookin I, Murly-Gotto J, O'Connor R, Muress S, *et al.* The Relevance of the Evolution of Experimental Studies for the Interpretation and Evaluation of Some Trace Physical Evidence. Science and Justice 2009 Dec; 49 (4): 277-285.
- 96 McKinley J, Ruffell A. Contemporaneous Spatial Sampling at Scenes of Crime: Advantages and Disadvantages. Forensic Science International 2007 Oct; 172 (2-3): 196-202.
- 97 Smucker AJM, Siegel JA. Separation and Concentration of Trace Evidence from Soils Using a Hydropneumatic Elutriation Trace Evidence Concentrator (TEC). In: Ritz K, Dawson L, Miller D (editors) Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer.com. 2009; 491-497.
- 98 Morgan RM, Bull PA. The Philosophy, Nature and Practice of Forensic Sediment Analysis Progress in Physical Geography 2007; 31(1): 43-58.
- 99 Donnelly LJ. Communication in Geology: a Personal Perspective and Lessons from Volcanic, Mining, Exploration, Geotechnical, Police and Geoforensic Investigation. In: DGE Liverman, CPG Pereira, B Marker (editors) Communicating Environmental Geoscience. Geological Society Special Publications 2008; 305: 107-121.
- 100 Rold JW. Forensic Geology, A Growth Industry? For You?

  2007 GSA Annual Meeting http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007AM/finalprogram/abstract 125572.htm.

- 101 Gradusova OB, Nesterina EM. Technogenetics Minerals as Indicators of the Scene of a Crime. Book of Abstracts, the 2nd International Workshop on Criminal and Environmental Forensics 2007; 15-16. <a href="http://www.soilforensicsinternational.org/book">http://www.soilforensicsinternational.org/book</a> of abstracts.pdf.
- 102 Gradusova O, Nesterina E. The Current Status of Forensic Soil Examination in the Russian Federation. In: K Ritz, L Dawson, D Miller (editors) Criminal and Environmental Soil Forensics. Springer.com. 2009; 61-73.
- 103 Stam M. Forensic Geology in the United Kingdom and the United States. 2008 Joint Annual Meeting GSA, ASA-CSSA-SSSA, GCAGS-SEPM, HGS <a href="http://a-c-s.confex.com/crops/2008am/webprogram/Paper49943.html">http://a-c-s.confex.com/crops/2008am/webprogram/Paper49943.html</a>.
- 104 Molina CM. History and Development of Forensic Geology in Colombia. Revista Innovación y Ciencia 2008; XV (4): In Spanish.
- 105 Donnelly LJ. Whatever Remains. Geoscientist 2009; 19 (1): 24-25.
- 106 Pirrie D. Forensic Geology in Serious Crime Investigation Geology Today 2009; 25 (5): 188-192.